



Docket No.: 1349.1245

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kyung-shig CHUNG

Serial No. 10/606,934

Group Art Unit: 3653

Confirmation No. 4455

Filed: June 27, 2003

Examiner: Thomas A. Morrison

For: APPARATUS FOR SWITCHING PAPER FEED DIRECTION OF IMAGE FORMING
DEVICE

COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed October 20, 2006.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

The Examiner characterizes certain features of various claims. However, the Examiner has not recited the appropriate language for the appropriate claims as pending and allowed in the application.

By way of example, the Reasons with respect to claim 7 discuss the restoring unit and the blocking unit, and then state that "this arrangement" causes a force of the restoring unit to

act on the guide only when the guide rotates beyond a predetermined angle relative to the first position. However, it is noted that claim 7 recites that the blocking unit positions the restoring unit and does not refer to any "arrangement".

Furthermore, it is noted that the claims do not recite a "combination," as mentioned in the Reasons.

The foregoing is merely meant to be exemplary, and does not point out all of the discrepancies between the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and the claimed features of the currently pending claims.

It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of same. It is also submitted that the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, it is submitted that the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 1-22-07

By: 

Michael J. Badagliacca
Registration No. 39,099

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501